UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
	X				
	:				
In re: EPHEDRA PRODUCTS LIABILITY	:	0.4	M.D.	1598	(JSR)
LITIGATION	•	0 1	11121	1000	(0011)
	· ·				
	·				
DEDMAINS MO ALL CASES	<u>-</u> ^				

STATUS ORDER (INCLUDING CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO.44)

JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J.

At the monthly status conference held on March 6, 2008, the Court made the following rulings, which are hereby confirmed (and in some instances modified and supplemented) as follows:

- 1. The next status conference will be held as previously announced at 4:30 pm on <u>Thursday</u>, <u>April 3, 2008</u>.
- 2. The Clerk is directed to mark as closed the following three cases, which were settled on the eve of the Metabolife bankruptcy and are awaiting pro rata distribution by the trustee for general unsecured claims: Ochoa v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 2893; Villareal v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 2897; and Wiggins v. Metabolife, No. 04 Civ. 5479. Plaintiffs' counsel in the three cases shall submit a stipulation and proposed order of dismissal with prejudice promptly after receiving notice of the amount to be distributed.
- 3. The Court will decide without oral argument plaintiff's motion for a suggestion of remand in <u>Stear v. Merle Norman</u>

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 3-10-08

Cosmetics, No. 04 Civ. 4234, promptly after defendant's time to file opposition papers expires on March 10, 2008.

4. On the Court's own motion with notice served by the Special Master on February 14, 2008, the following cases were dismissed with prejudice without opposition: Lugene Parsely V. Metabolife International, No. 03 Civ. 9266; Josephine Cioppa v. Heritage Health Products, No. 04 Civ. 0042; Douglas Risley v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 2900; Joseph Stanley Michalowksi v. United States of America, No. 04 Civ. 3526; Pam Lajean McClellan v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 4266; Erica Marie West v. Metabolife International, No. 04 Civ. 4268; Karen Chandler v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 4269; Karolyn Mark v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 4271; James Wende v. Rexall Sundown, No. 04 Civ. 4280; Christopher Kitsos v. Metabolife, No. 04 Civ. 5469; Alexander Haque v. Metabolife, No. 04 Civ. 5478; Debra Salazar v. TL Administration Corp., No. 04 Civ. 5606; Everett Abrams v. Twin Laboratories Inc., No. 04 Civ. 6194; Matthew Hollimon v. Advocare, No. 04 Civ. 6826; Bernice Chavez Thompson v. Metabolife <u>International Inc.</u>, No. 04 Civ. 6835; <u>Ellen Matthews v.</u> Nutramerica Corp., No. 04 Civ. 6837; Keith Reid v. NVE Inc., No. 04 Civ. 7627; Artemio Cedillo v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 7641; Jose Pagoada v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ. 8201; Shirley Kemp v. Metabolife International Inc.,

No. 04 Civ. 8203; Jacalyn Lee DeBlais v. Metabolife International Inc., No. 04 Civ.8208; Abrams v. Twin Laboratories, No. 04 Civ. 9103; Barnes v. Twin Laboratories, No. 04 Civ. 9105; Olschwanger v. Muscletech Research & Development, No. 04 Civ. 10025; Marcos Dias v. GN Oldco et al., No. 05 Civ. 0031; Linda Katie Wellman v. Chemins, No. 05 Civ. 0158; Morris Parks v. Metabolife, No. 05 Civ. 1021; Vicki Carter v. N.V.E. Inc., No. 05 Civ. 2474; Lori Jenkins v. Muscletech, No. 05 Civ. 3887; Sherry King v. Metabolife, No. 04 Civ. 4213; Colby Stambaugh v. ISP Nutrition Corp., No. 04 Civ. 4236; and Bobby Jack Edwards v. Metabolife, No. 04 Civ. 4264.

5. On the unopposed motion of Plaintiffs' Coordinating
Counsel ("PCC"), the Court approved \$103,095 in fees and
\$10,020.49 in expenses of the PCC's subcommittee on common fees
and expenses. The subcommittee's time and expenses were incurred
in connection with their audit-like review and submission to the
Court of approximately 25,000 hours of attorney time and about
\$700,000 in expenses, the underlying data for which had been
submitted to the subcommittee by the 19 law firms that performed
work for the common benefit of plaintiffs in the MDL. Because
the subcommittee's attorney time was incurred for the
administration of the two common funds, both the fees and the
expenses shall be paid out of the Ephedra Plaintiffs' Common
Expense Fund (the "Expense Fund") established by Case Management

Order No. 7 \P 2(a).

- 6. The PCC's unopposed motion to reimburse 11 law firms for their expenses listed in the motion papers totaling approximately \$150,000 was granted. The exact amount shall be determined by the Special Master after he receives adjusted figures from John C. Thornton III Esq., whereupon the Special Master shall submit a proposed order for payment from the Expense Fund.
- 7. Upon the unopposed motion of the PCC, the Clerk is directed to transfer \$390,076.52 from the Expense Fund to the Ephedra Plaintiffs' Common Fee Fund established by Case Management Order No. 7 ¶ 2(a). This transfer reverses a transfer made by Case Management Order No. 22 ¶ 8 at the PCC's request because it then appeared that the Expense Fund would be insufficient.

SO ORDERED.

JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J.

Dated: New York, New York March /0, 2008